IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

```
LAUREL SCHOOL DISTRICT;
                                       §
                                       §
ROBERT L. WHALEY, SAMUEL A.
TYNDALL, and RICHARD A.
                                         No. 207, 2010
                                         and No. 211, 2010
GIVENS, II, all individually and in their
official capacities as members of the
Board of Education of the Laurel School
                                       § Court Below—Superior Court
District; DR. JOHN MCCOY, in his
official capacity as Superintendent of the
                                       § of the State of Delaware,
                                       § in and for Kent County
Laurel School District; and HEINZ
                                       § C.A. No. 09C-06-020
RETZLAFF, individually,
                                       §
      Defendants Below-
                                       Appellants,
     v.
JANE DOE #1,
     Plaintiff Below-
     Appellee.
```

Submitted: April 14, 2010 Decided: April 20, 2010

Before STEELE, Chief Justice, JACOBS, and RIDGELY, Justices.

ORDER

This 20th day of April 2010, it appears to the Court that:

(1) The defendants below have petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from an interlocutory order of the Superior Court, dated March 16, 2010, denying the defendants' motion to dismiss and

granting the plaintiff ten days to either amend her complaint or request leave of the

trial court to file her case caption under seal.

(2) The defendants filed their application for certification to take an

interlocutory appeal in the Superior Court on April 6, 2010. The Superior Court

denied the certification application on April 14, 2010.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound

discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, we have concluded that

the application for interlocutory review does not meet the requirements of Supreme

Court Rule 42(b) and should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the within

interlocutory appeal be REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Henry duPont Ridgely

Justice

-2-